Calendar No. 55

105TH CONGRESS S. CON. RES. 27

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

May 19, 1997

Placed on the calendar

Calendar No. 55

105TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. CON. RES. 27

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

May 19, 1997

Mr. Domenici, from the Committee on the Budget, submitted the following original concurrent resolution; which was placed on the calendar

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

- 1 Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives
- 2 concurring),
- 3 SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET
- 4 FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998.
- 5 (a) Declaration.—The Congress determines and
- 6 declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution
- 7 on the budget for fiscal year 1998 including the appro-
- 8 priate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001,

- 1 and 2002 as required by section 301 of the Congressional
- 2 Budget Act of 1974.
- 3 (b) Table of Contents for
- 4 this concurrent resolution is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1998.

TITLE I—LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

- Sec. 101. Recommended levels and amounts.
- Sec. 102. Social Security.
- Sec. 103. Major functional categories.
- Sec. 104. Reconciliation.

TITLE II—BUDGETARY RESTRAINTS AND RULEMAKING

- Sec. 201. Discretionary spending limits.
- Sec. 202. Allowance in the Senate.
- Sec. 203. Allowance in the Senate for section 8 housing assistance.
- Sec. 204. Environmental reserve.
- Sec. 205. Federal land acquisition reserve.
- Sec. 206. Allowance in the Senate for arrearages.
- Sec. 207. Intercity passenger rail reserve fund for fiscal years 1998–2002.
- Sec. 208. Mass transit reserve fund for fiscal years 1998–2002.
- Sec. 209. Exercise of rulemaking powers.

TITLE III—SENSE OF THE SENATE

- Sec. 301. Sense of the Senate on long term entitlement reforms, including accuracy in determining changes in the cost of living.
- Sec. 302. Sense of the Senate on tactical fighter aircraft programs.
- Sec. 303. Sense of the Senate regarding children's health coverage.
- Sec. 304. Sense of the Senate on a Medicaid per capita cap.
- Sec. 305. Sense of the Senate that added savings go to deficit reduction.
- Sec. 306. Sense of the Senate on fairness in Medicare.
- Sec. 307. Sense of the Senate regarding assistance to Lithuania and Latvia.
- Sec. 308. Sense of the Senate regarding a national commission on higher education.
- Sec. 309. Sense of the Senate on lockbox.
- Sec. 310. Sense of the Senate on the earned income credit.

5 TITLE I—LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

- 6 SEC. 101. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.
- 7 The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the
- 8 fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:
- 9 (1) Federal revenues.—For purposes of the en-
- 10 forcement of this resolution—

1	(A) The recommended levels of Federal reve-
2	nues are as follows:
3	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,199,000,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,241,900,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2000: \$1,285,600,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2001: \$1,343,600,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2002: \$1,407,600,000,000.
8	(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels
9	of Federal revenues should be changed are as fol-
10	lows:
11	Fiscal year 1998: $\$-7,400,000,000$.
12	Fiscal year 1999: $\$-11,100,000,000$.
13	Fiscal year 2000: $\$-22,000,000,000$.
14	Fiscal year 2001: $\$-22,800,000,000$.
15	Fiscal year 2002: $\$-19,900,000,000$.
16	(C) The amounts for Federal Insurance Con-
17	tributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within
18	the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as
19	follows:
20	Fiscal year 1998: \$113,500,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 1999: \$119,100,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2000: \$125,100,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2001: \$130,700,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2002: \$136,800,000,000.

```
1
        (2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the
 2
    enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of
 3
    total new budget authority are as follows:
 4
                  Fiscal year 1998: $1,384,900,000,000.
 5
                  Fiscal year 1999: $1,440,200,000,000.
 6
                  Fiscal year 2000: $1,486,400,000,000.
 7
                  Fiscal year 2001: $1,520,400,000,000.
 8
                  Fiscal year 2002: $1,551,900,000,000.
 9
        (3) Budget outlays.—For purposes of the enforce-
10
    ment of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total
11
    budget outlays are as follows:
12
                  Fiscal year 1998: $1,372,000,000,000.
13
                  Fiscal year 1999: $1,424,300,000,000.
14
                  Fiscal year 2000: $1,468,900,000,000.
15
                  Fiscal year 2001: $1,500,900,000,000.
16
                  Fiscal year 2002: $1,516,300,000,000.
17
        (4) Deficits.—For purposes of the enforcement of
18
    this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:
19
                  Fiscal year 1998: \$-173,000,000,000.
20
                  Fiscal year 1999: \$-182,400,000,000.
21
                  Fiscal year 2000: \$-183,300,000,000.
22
                  Fiscal year 2001: \$-157,300,000,000.
23
                  Fiscal year 2002: \$-108,700,000,000.
24
        (5) Public Debt.—The appropriate levels of the
25
    public debt are as follows:
```

```
1
                 Fiscal year 1998: $5,593,500,000,000.
 2
                 Fiscal year 1999: $5,836,200,000,000.
 3
                 Fiscal year 2000: $6,082,400,000,000.
 4
                 Fiscal year 2001: $6,301,200,000,000.
 5
                 Fiscal year 2002: $6,473,500,000,000.
 6
        (6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate
   levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:
 8
                 Fiscal year 1998: $34,000,000,000.
 9
                 Fiscal year 1999: $33,400,000,000.
10
                 Fiscal year 2000: $34,900,000,000.
11
                 Fiscal year 2001: $36,100,000,000.
12
                 Fiscal year 2002: $37,400,000,000.
13
        (7) Primary Loan Guarantee Commitments.—
14
   The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee
15
   commitments are as follows:
16
                 Fiscal year 1998: $315,700,000,000.
17
                 Fiscal year 1999: $324,900,000,000.
18
                 Fiscal year 2000: $328,200,000,000.
19
                 Fiscal year 2001: $332,200,000,000.
20
                 Fiscal year 2002: $335,300,000,000.
21
   SEC. 102. SOCIAL SECURITY.
22
        (a) Social Security Revenues.—For purposes of
23
   Senate enforcement under sections 302, 602, and 311 of
   the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of
   revenues of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance
```

- 1 Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust
- 2 Fund are as follows:
- Fiscal year 1998: \$402,800,000,000.
- 4 Fiscal year 1999: \$422,300,000,000.
- 5 Fiscal year 2000: \$442,600,000,000.
- 6 Fiscal year 2001: \$461,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: \$482,800,000,000.
- 8 (b) Social Security Outlays.—For purposes of
- 9 Senate enforcement under sections 302, 602, and 311 of
- 10 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of
- 11 outlays of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance
- 12 Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust
- 13 Fund are as follows:
- 14 Fiscal year 1998: \$317,600,000,000.
- 15 Fiscal year 1999: \$330,600,000,000.
- 16 Fiscal year 2000: \$343,600,000,000.
- 17 Fiscal year 2001: \$358,100,000,000.
- 18 Fiscal year 2002: \$372,500,000,000.
- 19 SEC. 103. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
- The Congress determines and declares that the ap-
- 21 propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays,
- 22 new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guaran-
- 23 tee commitments for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 for
- 24 each major functional category are:
- 25 (1) National Defense (050):

1	Fiscal year 1998:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$268,200,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$266,000,000,000.
5	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
7	ments, \$600,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 1999:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$270,800,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$265,800,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
13	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
14	ments, \$800,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2000:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$274,800,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$268,400,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
21	ments, \$1,100,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2001:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$281,300,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$270,100,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
2	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
3	ments, \$1,100,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2002:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$289,100,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$272,600,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
10	ments, \$1,100,000,000.
11	(2) International Affairs (150):
12	Fiscal year 1998:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$15,900,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations,
17	\$2,000,000,000.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
19	ments, \$12,800,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 1999:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$14,900,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations,
25	\$2,000,000,000.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
2	ments, \$13,100,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2000:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$15,800,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$15,000,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations,
8	\$2,100,000,000.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
10	ments, \$13,400,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2001:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$16,100,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$14,800,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations,
16	\$2,100,000,000.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
18	ments, \$13,800,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2002:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$16,400,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$14,800,000,000.
23	(C) New direct loan obligations,
24	\$2,200,000,000.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
2	ments, \$14,200,000,000.
3	(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
4	Fiscal year 1998:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$16,200,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$16,900,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
10	ments, \$0.
11	Fiscal year 1999:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$16,200,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
17	ments, \$0.
18	Fiscal year 2000:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$15,900,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
24	ments, \$0.
25	Fiscal year 2001:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$15,800,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
6	ments, \$0.
7	Fiscal year 2002:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$15,600,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$15,700,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
13	ments, \$0.
14	(4) Energy (270):
15	Fiscal year 1998:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$3,100,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$2,200,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations,
20	\$1,100,000,000.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
22	ments, \$0.
23	Fiscal year 1999:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$3,500,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$2,400,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations,
3	\$1,100,000,000.
4	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
5	ments, \$0.
6	Fiscal year 2000:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$3,200,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$2,300,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$1,100,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
13	ments, \$0.
14	Fiscal year 2001:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$2,900,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$2,000,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$1,100,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
21	ments, \$0.
22	Fiscal year 2002:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$2,800,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$1,900,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$1,200,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$0.
5	(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
6	Fiscal year 1998:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$23,900,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$22,400,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$100,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
13	ments, \$0.
14	Fiscal year 1999:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$23,200,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$22,700,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$100,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
21	ments, \$0.
22	Fiscal year 2000:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$22,600,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$23,000,000,000.

```
obligations,
 1
                  (C)
                        New
                                direct
                                         loan
 2
             $100,000,000.
 3
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 4
             ments, $0.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                  authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $22,200,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $22,700,000,000.
 8
 9
                                                 obligations,
                  (C)
                        New
                                direct
                                         loan
10
             $100,000,000.
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
11
             ments, $0.
12
13
             Fiscal year 2002:
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                  authority,
             $22,100,000,000.
15
                  (B) Outlays, $22,300,000,000.
16
17
                  (C)
                        New
                                direct
                                         loan
                                                 obligations,
18
             $100,000,000.
19
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $0.
20
21
        (6) Agriculture (350):
22
             Fiscal year 1998:
23
                  (A)
                                                  authority,
                           New
                                      budget
             $13,100,000,000.
24
25
                  (B) Outlays, $11,900,000,000.
```

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$9,600,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$6,400,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1999:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$12,800,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$11,300,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations,
10	\$11,000,000,000.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
12	ments, \$6,400,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2000:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$12,200,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$10,700,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations,
18	\$11,100,000,000.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
20	ments, \$6,500,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2001:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$11,000,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$9,500,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$11,000,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$6,600,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2002:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$10,700,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$9,100,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations,
10	\$11,000,000,000.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
12	ments, \$6,700,000,000.
13	(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
14	Fiscal year 1998:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$6,600,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, $-\$900,000,000$.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$4,700,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
21	ments, \$245,500,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 1999:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$11,100,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$4,300,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$1,900,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$253,500,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2000:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$15,200,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$9,800,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations,
10	\$2,200,000,000.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
12	ments, \$255,200,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2001:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$16,100,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$12,100,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations,
18	\$2,600,000,000.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
20	ments, \$258,000,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2002:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$16,700,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$12,500,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$2,700,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$259,900,000,000.
5	(8) Transportation (400):
6	Fiscal year 1998:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$44,600,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$40,900,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$200,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
13	ments, \$0.
14	Fiscal year 1999:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$46,600,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$41,300,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$100,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
21	ments, \$0.
22	Fiscal year 2000:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$47,100,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$41,400,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$100,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$0.
5	Fiscal year 2001:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$48,100,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$41,300,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations,
10	\$100,000,000.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
12	ments, \$0.
13	Fiscal year 2002:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$49,200,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$41,200,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations,
18	\$100,000,000.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
20	ments, \$0.
21	(9) Community and Regional Development (450):
22	Fiscal year 1998:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$8,800,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$2,900,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$2,400,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1999:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$8,500,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$10,900,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations,
10	\$2,900,000,000.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
12	ments, \$2,400,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2000:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$7,800,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$11,000,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations,
18	\$3,000,000,000.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
20	ments, \$2,400,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2001:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$7,800,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$11,400,000,000.

```
1
                  (C)
                        New
                                direct
                                         loan
                                                 obligations,
 2
             $3,100,000,000.
 3
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $2,500,000,000.
 4
 5
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                  authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $7,800,000,000.
 7
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $8,400,000,000.
 9
                                                 obligations,
                  (C)
                        New
                                direct
                                         loan
10
             $3,200,000,000.
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
11
             ments, $2,500,000,000.
12
13
        (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social
    Services (500):
14
15
             Fiscal year 1998:
                                      budget
16
                  (A)
                           New
                                                  authority,
17
             $60,000,000,000.
18
                  (B) Outlays, $56,100,000,000.
19
                  (C)
                        New
                                         loan
                                direct
                                                 obligations,
20
             $12,300,000,000.
21
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $20,700,000,000.
22
23
             Fiscal year 1999:
24
                           New
                                      budget
                  (A)
                                                  authority,
25
             $60,500,000,000.
```

1	(B) Outlays, \$59,300,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations,
3	\$13,100,000,000.
4	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
5	ments, \$21,900,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2000:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$61,700,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$60,700,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$13,900,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
13	ments, \$23,300,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2001:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$63,000,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$61,900,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$14,700,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
21	ments, \$24,500,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2002:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$63,300,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$62,300,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$15,400,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
4	ments, \$25,700,000,000.
5	(11) Health (550):
6	Fiscal year 1998:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$137,800,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$137,800,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
12	ments, \$100,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 1999:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$144,900,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$144,900,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
19	ments, \$0.
20	Fiscal year 2000:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$154,000,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$153,900,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

```
(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 1
 2
             ments, $0.
 3
             Fiscal year 2001:
                                                  authority,
 4
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $163,400,000,000.
 5
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $163,100,000,000.
 7
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 8
 9
             ments, $0.
             Fiscal year 2002:
10
11
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                  authority,
             $172,100,000,000.
12
13
                  (B) Outlays, $171,700,000,000.
14
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
15
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $0.
16
17
        (12) Medicare (570):
18
             Fiscal year 1998:
19
                  (A)
                                                  authority,
                           New
                                      budget
             $201,600,000,000.
20
21
                  (B) Outlays, $201,800,000,000.
22
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
23
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $0.
24
25
             Fiscal year 1999:
```

1	$(A) \qquad \qquad \Box$	New	budget	authority,
2	\$212,100,000,0	000.		
3	(B) Outla	ys, \$21	1,500,000,000).
4	(C) New o	irect le	oan obligations	s, \$0.
5	(D) New	primar	y loan guarai	ntee commit-
6	ments, \$0.			
7	Fiscal year 200	00:		
8	(A)	New	budget	authority,
9	\$225,500,000,0	000.		
10	(B) Outla	ys, \$22	5,500,000,000).
11	(C) New o	irect le	oan obligations	s, \$0.
12	(D) New	primar	y loan guarai	ntee commit-
13	ments, \$0.			
14	Fiscal year 200)1:		
15	(A)	New	budget	authority,
16	\$239,600,000,0	000.		
17	(B) Outla	ys, \$23	8,800,000,000).
18	(C) New d	lirect lo	oan obligations	s, \$0.
19	(D) New	primar	y loan guarai	ntee commit-
20	ments, \$0.			
21	Fiscal year 200)2:		
22	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$251,500,000,0	000.		
24	(B) Outla	ys, \$25	0,800,000,000).
25	(C) New d	irect lo	oan obligations	s, \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
2	ments, \$0.
3	(13) Income Security (600):
4	Fiscal year 1998:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$239,000,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$247,800,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations,
9	\$100,000,000.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
11	ments, \$100,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 1999:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$254,100,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$258,100,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations,
17	\$100,000,000.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
19	ments, \$100,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2000:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$269,600,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$268,200,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations,
25	\$100,000,000.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
2	ments, \$100,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2001:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$275,100,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$277,300,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations,
8	\$100,000,000.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
10	ments, \$100,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2002:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$286,900,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$285,200,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations,
16	\$200,000,000.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
18	ments, \$100,000,000.
19	(14) Social Security (650):
20	Fiscal year 1998:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$11,400,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
2	ments, \$0.
3	Fiscal year 1999:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$12,100,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
9	ments, \$0.
10	Fiscal year 2000:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$12,800,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$12,900,000,000.
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
16	ments, \$0.
17	Fiscal year 2001:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$13,000,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$13,000,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
23	ments, \$0.
24	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$14,400,00	00,000.		
3	(B) O	outlays, \$14	,400,000,000	
4	(C) N	ew direct le	oan obligation	as, \$0.
5	(D) N	New primar	y loan guara	ntee commit-
6	ments, \$0.			
7	(15) Veterans H	Benefits and	l Services (70	0):
8	Fiscal year	r 1998:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$40,500,00	00,000.		
11	(B) O	outlays, \$41	,300,000,000	
12	(C)	New di	rect loan	obligations,
13	\$1,000,000	0,000.		
14	(D) N	New primar	y loan guara	ntee commit-
15	ments, \$27	7,100,000,0	00.	
16	Fiscal year	r 1999:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$41,700,00	00,000.		
19	(B) O	outlays, \$41	,900,000,000	
20	(C)	New di	rect loan	obligations,
21	\$1,100,000	0,000.		
22	(D) N	New primar	y loan guara	ntee commit-
23	ments, \$26	6,700,000,0	00.	
24	Fiscal year	r 2000:		

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$42,000,000),000.		
3	(B) Ou	tlays, \$42	2,200,000,000	0.
4	(C)	New di	irect loan	obligations,
5	\$1,200,000,	000.		
6	(D) Ne	ew primar	y loan guar	antee commit-
7	ments, \$26,	200,000,0	000.	
8	Fiscal year	2001:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$42,400,000),000.		
11	(B) Ou	tlays, \$42	2,500,000,000	0.
12	(C)	New di	irect loan	obligations,
13	\$1,200,000,	000.		
14	(D) Ne	ew primar	y loan guara	antee commit-
15	ments, \$25,	600,000,0	000.	
16	Fiscal year	2002:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$42,600,000),000.		
19	(B) Ou	tlays, \$42	2,700,000,000	0.
20	(C)	New di	irect loan	obligations,
21	\$1,300,000,	000.		
22	(D) Ne	ew primar	y loan guara	antee commit-
23	ments, \$25,	100,000,0	000.	
24	(16) Administrat	ion of Jus	stice (750):	
25	Fiscal year	1998:		

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$24,800,000,0	000.		
3	(B) Outli	ays, \$22	2,600,000,000.	
4	(C) New	direct le	oan obligations	s, \$0.
5	(D) New	primai	y loan guarar	ntee commit-
6	ments, \$0.			
7	Fiscal year 1999:			
8	(A)	New	budget	authority,
9	\$25,100,000,0	000.		
10	(B) Outle	ays, \$24	4,500,000,000.	
11	(C) New	direct le	oan obligations	s, \$0.
12	(D) New	primaı	y loan guarar	ntee commit-
13	ments, \$0.			
14	Fiscal year 2000:			
15	(A)	New	budget	authority,
16	\$24,200,000,0	000.		
17	(B) Outla	ays, \$25	5,200,000,000.	
18	(C) New	direct le	oan obligations	s, \$0.
19	(D) New	primaı	y loan guarar	ntee commit-
20	ments, \$0.			
21	Fiscal year 20	001:		
22	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$24,400,000,0	000.		
24	(B) Outla	ays, \$25	5,900,000,000.	
25	(C) New	direct le	oan obligations	s, \$0.

```
(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 1
 2
             ments, $0.
 3
             Fiscal year 2002:
 4
                                                  authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $24,900,000,000.
 5
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $24,900,000,000.
 7
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 8
 9
             ments, $0.
10
        (17) General Government (800):
             Fiscal year 1998:
11
                  (A)
12
                           New
                                      budget
                                                  authority,
             $14,700,000,000.
13
14
                  (B) Outlays, $14,000,000,000.
15
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
16
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
17
             ments, $0.
18
             Fiscal year 1999:
19
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                  authority,
             $14,400,000,000.
20
21
                  (B) Outlays, $14,400,000,000.
22
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
23
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $0.
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
1
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 2
             $14,000,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $14,700,000,000.
 4
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
 5
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 6
             ments, $0.
 7
             Fiscal year 2001:
                                                   authority,
 8
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
 9
             $13,700,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, $14,100,000,000.
11
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
12
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
13
             ments, $0.
14
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
15
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
16
             $13,100,000,000.
17
                  (B) Outlays, $13,100,000,000.
18
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
19
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
20
             ments, $0.
21
        (18) Net Interest (900):
22
             Fiscal year 1998:
23
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $296,500,000,000.
24
25
                  (B) Outlays, $296,500,000,000.
```

1	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
2	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
3	ments, \$0.
4	Fiscal year 1999:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$304,600,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$304,600,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
10	ments, \$0.
11	Fiscal year 2000:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$304,900,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$304,900,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
17	ments, \$0.
18	Fiscal year 2001:
19	(A) New budget authority,
19 20	(A) New budget authority, \$303,700,000,000.
20	\$303,700,000,000.
2021	\$303,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$303,700,000,000.
202122	\$303,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$303,700,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$303,800,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$303,800,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
6	ments, \$0.
7	(19) Allowances (920):
8	Fiscal year 1998:
9	(A) New budget authority, $-\$0$.
10	(B) Outlays, $-\$0$.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
13	ments, \$0.
14	Fiscal year 1999:
15	(A) New budget authority, $-\$0$.
16	(B) Outlays, $-\$0$.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
19	ments, \$0.
20	Fiscal year 2000:
21	(A) New budget authority, $-\$0$.
22	(B) Outlays, $-\$0$.
23	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
24	(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
25	ments. \$0.

```
1
             Fiscal year 2001:
 2
                  (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 4
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
 5
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 6
             ments, $0.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 8
                  (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 9
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
10
11
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $0.
12
        (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
13
14
             Fiscal year 1998:
                                                   authority,
15
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
              -\$41,800,000,000.
16
17
                  (B) Outlays, -\$41,800,000,000.
18
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
19
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
20
             ments, $0.
21
             Fiscal year 1999:
22
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
23
              -\$36,900,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, -\$36,900,000,000.
24
25
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
```

```
(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 1
 2
             ments, $0.
 3
             Fiscal year 2000:
 4
                  (A)
                                                  authority,
                           New
                                      budget
             -\$36,900,000,000.
 5
                  (B) Outlays, -\$36,900,000,000.
 6
 7
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
 8
 9
             ments, $0.
             Fiscal year 2001:
10
11
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             -\$39,200,000,000.
12
13
                  (B) Outlays, -\$39,200,000,000.
14
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
15
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
             ments, $0.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2002:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             -\$51,100,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, -\$51,100,000,000.
20
21
                  (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
22
                  (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
23
             ments, $0.
```

SEC. 104. RECONCILIATION.

2 (a) Reconciliation of Spending Reducti	ONS.—
------------------------------------------	-------

- 3 Not later than June 20, 1997, the committees named in
- 4 this subsection shall submit their recommendations to the
- 5 Committee on the Budget of the Senate. After receiving
- 6 those recommendations, the Committee on the Budget
- 7 shall report to the Senate a reconciliation bill carrying out
- 8 all such recommendations without any substantive revi-
- 9 sion.
- 10 (1) Committee on agriculture, nutrition,
- 11 AND FORESTRY.—The Senate Committee on Agri-
- culture, Nutrition, and Forestry shall report changes
- in laws within its jurisdiction that increase outlays
- 14 by \$300,000,000 in fiscal year 2002 and
- 15 \$1,500,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998
- 16 through 2002.
- 17 (2) Committee on banking, housing, and
- 18 URBAN AFFAIRS.—The Senate Committee on Bank-
- ing, Housing, and Urban Affairs shall report
- changes in laws within its jurisdiction that reduce
- 21 the deficit \$434,000,000 in fiscal year 2002 and
- \$1,590,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998
- 23 through 2002.
- 24 (3) Committee on commerce, science, and
- 25 TRANSPORTATION.—The Senate Committee on Com-
- 26 merce, Science, and Transportation shall report

- changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending (as defined in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) to reduce outlays \$14,849,000,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$26,496,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2002.
 - (4) COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES.—The Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending (as defined in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) to reduce outlays \$6,000,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$13,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2002.
 - (5) COMMITTEE ON FINANCE.—The Senate Committee on Finance shall report to the Senate changes in laws within its jurisdiction—
 - (A) that provide direct spending (as defined in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) to reduce outlays \$40,946,000,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$100,721,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2002; and

- 1 (B) to increase the statutory limit on the 2 public debt to not more than 3 \$5,950,000,000,000.
 - (6) COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.—The Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that reduce the deficit \$1,769,000,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$5,467,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2002.
 - (7) COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND HUMAN RESOURCES.—The Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending (as defined in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) to reduce outlays \$1,057,000,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$1,792,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2002.
 - (8) COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS.—The Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending (as defined in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) to reduce outlays \$681,000,000 in fis-

- 1 cal year 2002 and \$2,733,000,000 for the period of
- 2 fiscal years 1998 through 2002.
- 3 (b) Reconciliation of Revenue Reductions.—
- 4 Not later than June 27, 1997, the Senate Committee on
- 5 Finance shall report to the Senate a reconciliation bill pro-
- 6 posing changes in laws within its jurisdiction necessary to
- 7 reduce revenues by not more than \$20,500,000,000 in fis-
- 8 cal year 2002 and \$85,000,000,000 for the period of fiscal
- 9 years 1998 through 2002.
- 10 (c) Treatment of Congressional Pay-As-You-
- 11 Go.—For purposes of section 202 of House Concurrent
- 12 Resolution 67 (104th Congress), legislation which reduces
- 13 revenues pursuant to a reconciliation instruction contained
- 14 in subsection (b) shall be taken together with all other
- 15 legislation enacted pursuant to the reconciliation instruc-
- 16 tions contained in this resolution when determining the
- 17 deficit effect of such legislation.
- 18 (d) Adjustments.—
- 19 (1) Deficit Neutral adjustments.—Upon
- the reporting of reconciliation legislation pursuant to
- subsection (a), or upon the submission of a con-
- ference report thereon, and if the Committee on Fi-
- 23 nance reduces the deficit by an amount equal to or
- greater than the outlay reduction that would be
- achieved pursuant to subsection (a)(5)(A), the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Chairman of the Committee on the Budget, with the concurrence and agreement of the ranking minority member, may submit appropriately revised reconciliation instructions to the Committee on Finance to reduce the deficit, allocations, limits, and aggregates if such revisions do not cause an increase in the deficit for fiscal year 1998 and for the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2002.

(2) Flexibility on adjustments.—

- (A) In General.—If the adjustments authorized by paragraph (1) involve a reduction in the revenue aggregates set forth in this resolution, in lieu of revenue reductions, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget may make upward adjustments to the discretionary spending limits in this resolution, or any combination thereof.
- (B) Limit.—The adjustments made pursuthis subsection shall ant to not exceed \$2,300,000,000 fiscal in year 1998and \$16,000,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2002.

1 TITLE II—BUDGETARY 2 RESTRAINTS AND RULEMAKING

3	SEC. 201. DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS.
4	(a) DISCRETIONARY LIMITS.—In this section and for
5	the purposes of allocations made for the discretionary cat-
6	egory pursuant to section 302(a) or 602(a) of the Con-
7	gressional Budget Act of 1974, the term "discretionary
8	spending limit" means—
9	(1) with respect to fiscal year 1998—
10	(A) for the defense category
11	\$269,000,000,000 in new budget authority and
12	\$266,823,000,000 in outlays; and
13	(B) for the nondefense category
14	\$257,857,000,000 in new budget authority and
15	\$286,445,000,000 in outlays;
16	(2) with respect to fiscal year 1999—
17	(A) for the defense category
18	\$271,500,000,000 in new budget authority and
19	\$266,518,000,000 in outlays; and
20	(B) for the nondefense category
21	\$261,499,000,000 in new budget authority and
22	\$292,803,000,000 in outlays;
23	(3) with respect to fiscal year 2000, for the dis-
24	cretionary category \$537,193,000,000 in new budget
25	authority and \$564,265,000,000 in outlays;

1	(4) with respect to fiscal year 2001, for the dis-
2	cretionary category $$542,032,000,000$ in new budget
3	authority and \$564,396,000,000 in outlays; and
4	(5) with respect to fiscal year 2002, for the dis-
5	cretionary category $$551,074,000,000$ in new budget
6	authority and \$560,799,000,000 in outlays;
7	as adjusted for changes in concepts and definitions and
8	emergency appropriations.
9	(b) Point of Order in the Senate.—
10	(1) In general.—Except as provided in para-
11	graph (2), it shall not be in order in the Senate to
12	consider—
13	(A) a revision of this resolution or any con-
14	current resolution on the budget for fiscal years
15	1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002 (or amendment,
16	motion, or conference report on such a resolu-
17	tion) that provides discretionary spending in ex-
18	cess of the discretionary spending limit or limits
19	for such fiscal year; or
20	(B) any bill or resolution (or amendment,
21	motion, or conference report on such bill or res-
22	olution) for fiscal year 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001,
23	or 2002 that would cause any of the limits in
24	this section (or suballocations of the discre-
25	tionary limits made pursuant to section 602(b)

1	of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974) to be
2	exceeded.
3	(2) Exception.—
4	(A) IN GENERAL.—This section shall not
5	apply if a declaration of war by the Congress is
6	in effect or if a joint resolution pursuant to sec-
7	tion 258 of the Balanced Budget and Emer-
8	gency Deficit Control Act of 1985 has been en-
9	acted.
10	(B) Enforcement of discretionary
11	LIMITS IN FY 1998.—Until the enactment of rec-
12	onciliation legislation pursuant to subsections
13	(a) and (b) of section 104 of this resolution—
14	(i) subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1)
15	shall not apply; and
16	(ii) subparagraph (B) of paragraph
17	(1) shall apply only with respect to fiscal
18	year 1998.
19	(c) WAIVER.—This section may be waived or sus-
20	pended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of three-
21	fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
22	(d) APPEALS.—Appeals in the Senate from the deci-
23	sions of the Chair relating to any provision of this section
24	shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between,
25	and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the

- 1 concurrent resolution, bill, or joint resolution, as the case
- 2 may be. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members
- 3 of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required
- 4 in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the
- 5 Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
- 6 (e) Determination of Budget Levels.—For pur-
- 7 poses of this section, the levels of new budget authority,
- 8 outlays, new entitlement authority, revenues, and deficits
- 9 for a fiscal year shall be determined on the basis of esti-
- 10 mates made by the Committee on the Budget of the Sen-
- 11 ate.

12 SEC. 202. ALLOWANCE IN THE SENATE.

- 13 (a) Adjustments.—In the Senate, for fiscal year
- 14 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, or 2002, upon the reporting of
- 15 an appropriations measure (or the submission of a con-
- 16 ference report thereon) that includes an appropriation
- 17 with respect to paragraph (1) or (2), the chairman of the
- 18 Committee on the Budget shall increase the appropriate
- 19 allocations, budgetary aggregates, and discretionary limits
- 20 by the amount of budget authority in that measure that
- 21 is the dollar equivalent, in terms of Special Drawing
- 22 Rights, of—
- 23 (1) an increase in the United States quota as
- part of the International Monetary Fund Eleventh
- 25 General Review of Quotas (United States Quota); or

- 1 (2) any increase in the maximum amount avail-
- 2 able to the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to
- 3 section 17 of the Bretton Woods Agreement Act, as
- 4 amended from time to time (New Arrangements to
- 5 Borrow).
- 6 (b) COMMITTEE SUBALLOCATIONS.—The Committee
- 7 on Appropriations of the Senate may report appropriately
- 8 revised suballocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and
- 9 602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 follow-
- 10 ing the adjustments made pursuant to subsection (a).
- 11 SEC. 203. ALLOWANCE IN THE SENATE FOR SECTION 8
- 12 HOUSING ASSISTANCE.
- 13 (a) Adjustment for Discretionary Spending.—
- 14 In the Senate, for fiscal year 1998, upon the reporting
- 15 of an appropriations measure (or upon the submission of
- 16 a conference report thereon) that includes an appropria-
- 17 tion for Section 8 Housing Assistance which fully funds
- 18 all contract renewal obligations during that fiscal year, the
- 19 Chairman of the Committee on the Budget may increase
- 20 the appropriate allocations in this resolution by an amount
- 21 that does not exceed \$9,200,000,000 in budget authority
- 22 and the amount of outlays flowing from such budget au-
- 23 thority.
- 24 (b) Committee Suballocations.—The Committee
- 25 on Appropriations of the Senate may report appropriately

1	revised suballocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and
2	602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 follow-
3	ing the adjustments made pursuant to subsection (a).
4	SEC. 204. ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVE.
5	(a) Adjustments for Mandatory Spending.—
6	(1) Allocations.—In the Senate, upon the re-
7	porting of legislation (or upon the submission of a
8	conference report thereon) pursuant to subsection
9	(b), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget
10	may increase the allocation pursuant to sections
11	302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act
12	of 1974 to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
13	lic Works by an amount that does not exceed—
14	(A) \$200,000,000 in budget authority and
15	\$200,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1998
16	and
17	(B) \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority
18	and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays for the period of
19	fiscal years 1998 through 2002.
20	(2) Prior surplus.—For the purposes of sec-
21	tion 202 of House Concurrent Resolution 67 (104th
22	Congress), legislation reported (or the submission of
23	a conference report thereon) pursuant to paragraph
24	(1) shall be taken together with all other legislation

enacted pursuant to section 104 of this resolution.

- 1 (b) Limitations.—The adjustments made pursuant
- 2 to this section shall only be made for legislation that pro-
- 3 vides funding to reform the Superfund program to facili-
- 4 tate the cleanup of hazardous waste sites.
- 5 SEC. 205. PRIORITY FEDERAL LAND ACQUISITIONS AND EX-
- 6 CHANGES.
- 7 (a) Adjustment for Discretionary Spending.—
- 8 In the Senate, for fiscal year 1998, upon the reporting
- 9 of an appropriations measure (or upon the submission of
- 10 a conference report thereon) that includes an appropria-
- 11 tion for the National Park Service's Land Acquisition and
- 12 State Assistance account at the fiscal year 1998 request
- 13 level (as submitted on February 6, 1997) and up to an
- 14 additional \$700,000,000 in budget authority for priority
- 15 Federal land acquisitions and exchanges during that fiscal
- 16 year, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget may
- 17 increase the appropriate allocations by an amount that
- 18 does not exceed \$700,000,000 in budget authority and the
- 19 amount of outlays flowing from such budget authority.
- 20 (b) COMMITTEE SUBALLOCATIONS.—The Committee
- 21 on Appropriations of the Senate may report appropriately
- 22 revised suballocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and
- 23 602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 follow-
- 24 ing the adjustments made pursuant to subsection (a).

1 SEC. 206. ALLOWANCE IN THE SENATE FOR ARREARAGES.

2	(a) Adjustment for Discretionary Spending.—
3	In the Senate, for fiscal year 1998, 1999, and 2000, upon
4	the reporting of an appropriations measure (or upon the
5	submission of a conference report thereon) that includes
6	an appropriation for arrearages for international organiza-
7	tions, international peacekeeping, and multilateral devel-
8	opment banks during that fiscal year, the Chairman of
9	the Committee on the Budget may increase the appro-
10	priate allocations, aggregates, and discretionary spending
11	limits in this resolution by an amount that does not ex-
12	ceed—
13	(1) \$415,000,000 in budget authority and the
14	amount of outlays flowing from such budget author-
15	ity for fiscal year 1998;
16	(2) \$1,227,000,000 in budget authority and the
17	amount of outlays flowing from such budget author-
18	ity for fiscal year 1999; and
19	(3) \$242,000,000 in budget authority and the
20	amount of outlays flowing from such budget author-
21	ity for fiscal year 2000.
22	(b) Committee Suballocations.—The Committee
23	on Appropriations of the Senate may report appropriately
24	revised suballocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and
25	602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 follow-
26	ing the adjustments made pursuant to subsection (a).

SEC. 207. INTERCITY PASSENGER RAIL RESERVE FUND FOR

2	FISCAL YEARS 1998-2002.
3	(a) In General.—If legislation is enacted which
4	generates revenue increases or direct spending reductions
5	to finance an intercity passenger rail fund and to the ex-
6	tent that such increases or reductions are not included in

- 7 this concurrent resolution on the budget, the appropriate
- 8 budgetary levels and limits may be adjusted if such adjust-
- 9 ments do not cause an increase in the deficit in this resolu-
- 10 tion.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11 (b) Establishing a Reserve.—

- (1) REVISIONS.—After the enactment of legislation described in subsection (a), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget may submit revisions to the appropriate allocations and aggregates by the amount that provisions in such legislation generates revenue increases or direct spending reductions.
 - (2) REVENUE INCREASES OR DIRECT SPENDING REDUCTIONS.—Upon the submission of such revisions, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall also submit the amount of revenue increases or direct spending reductions such legislation generates and the maximum amount available each year for adjustments pursuant to subsection (c).
- 25 (c) Adjustments for Discretionary Spend-
- 26 ING.—

1	(1) REVISIONS TO ALLOCATIONS AND AGGRE-
2	GATES.—Upon either—
3	(A) the reporting of an appropriations
4	measure, or when a conference committee sub-
5	mits a conference report thereon, that appro-
6	priates funds for the National Railroad Pas-
7	senger Corporation and funds from the intercity
8	passenger rail fund; or
9	(B) the reporting of an appropriations
10	measure, or when a conference committee sub-
11	mits a conference report thereon, that appro-
12	priates funds from the intercity passenger rail
13	fund (funds having previously been appro-
14	priated for the National Railroad Passenger
15	Corporation for that same fiscal year),
16	the Chairman of the Budget Committee shall submit
17	increased budget authority allocations, aggregates,
18	and discretionary limits for the amount appropriated
19	for authorized expenditures from the intercity pas-
20	senger rail fund and the outlays flowing from such
21	budget authority.
22	(2) REVISIONS TO SUBALLOCATIONS.—The
23	Committee on Appropriations may submit appro-
24	priately revised suballocations pursuant to sections

1	302(b)(1) and $602(b)(1)$ of the Congressional Budg-
2	et Act of 1974.
3	(d) Limitations.—
4	(1) In general.—The revisions made pursu-
5	ant to subsection (b) shall not be made—
6	(A) with respect to direct spending reduc-
7	tions, unless the committee that generates the
8	direct spending reductions is within its alloca-
9	tions under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the
10	Budget Act in this resolution (not including the
11	direct spending reductions envisioned in sub-
12	section (b)); and
13	(B) with respect to revenue increases, un-
14	less revenues are at or above the revenue aggre-
15	gates in this resolution (not including the reve-
16	nue increases envisioned in subsection (b)).
17	(2) Budget authority.—The budget author-
18	ity adjustments made pursuant to subsection (c)
19	shall not exceed the amounts specified in subsection
20	(b)(2) for a fiscal year.
21	SEC. 208. MASS TRANSIT RESERVE FUND FOR FISCAL
22	YEARS 1998–2002.
23	(a) In General.—If legislation is enacted which
24	generates revenue increases or direct spending reductions
25	to finance mass transit and to the extent that such in-

- 1 creases or reductions are not included in this concurrent
- 2 resolution on the budget, the appropriate budgetary levels
- 3 and limits may be adjusted if such adjustments do not
- 4 cause an increase in the deficit in this resolution.
- 5 (b) Establishing a Reserve.—
- 6 (1) REVISIONS.—After the enactment of legisla7 tion described in subsection (a), the Chairman of the
 8 Committee on the Budget may submit revisions to
 9 the appropriate allocations and aggregates by the
 10 amount that provisions in such legislation generates
 11 revenue increases or direct spending reductions.
 - (2) REVENUE INCREASES OR DIRECT SPENDING REDUCTIONS.—Upon the submission of such revisions, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall also submit the amount of revenue increases or direct spending reductions such legislation generates and the maximum amount available each year for adjustments pursuant to subsection (c).
- 19 (c) Adjustments for Discretionary Spend-20 ing.—
- 21 (1) REVISIONS TO ALLOCATIONS AND AGGRE-22 GATES.—Upon the reporting of an appropriations 23 measure, or when a conference committee submits a 24 conference report thereon, that appropriates funds 25 for mass transit, the Chairman of the Budget Com-

13

14

15

16

17

- mittee shall submit increased budget authority allocations, aggregates, and discretionary limits for the amount appropriated for authorized expenditures from the mass transit fund and the outlays flowing from such budget authority.
 - (2) REVISIONS TO SUBALLOCATIONS.—The Committee on Appropriations may submit appropriately revised suballocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and 602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

(d) Limitations.—

- (1) IN GENERAL.—The revisions made pursuant to subsection (b) shall not be made—
 - (A) with respect to direct spending reductions, unless the committee that generates the direct spending reductions is within its allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Budget Act in this resolution (not including the direct spending reductions envisioned in subsection (b)); and
 - (B) with respect to revenue increases, unless revenues are at or above the revenue aggregates in this resolution (not including the revenue increases envisioned in subsection (b)).

1	(2) BUDGET AUTHORITY.—The budget author-
2	ity adjustments made pursuant to subsection (c)
3	shall not exceed the amounts specified in subsection
4	(b)(2) for a fiscal year.
5	SEC. 209. HIGHWAY RESERVE FUND FOR FISCAL YEARS
6	1998–2002.
7	(a) In General.—If legislation is enacted which
8	generates revenue increases or direct spending reductions
9	to finance highways and to the extent that such increases
10	or reductions are not included in this concurrent resolu-
11	tion on the budget, the appropriate budgetary levels and
12	limits may be adjusted if such adjustments do not cause
13	an increase in the deficit in this resolution.
14	(b) Establishing a Reserve.—
15	(1) REVISIONS.—After the enactment of legisla-
16	tion described in subsection (a), the Chairman of the
17	Committee on the Budget may submit revisions to
18	the appropriate allocations and aggregates by the
19	amount that provisions in such legislation generates
20	revenue increases or direct spending reductions.
21	(2) REVENUE INCREASES OR DIRECT SPENDING
22	REDUCTIONS.—Upon the submission of such revi-
23	sions, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budg-
24	et shall also submit the amount of revenue increases
25	or direct spending reductions such legislation gen-

1	erates and the maximum amount available each year
2	for adjustments pursuant to subsection (c).
3	(c) Adjustments for Discretionary Spend-
4	ING.—
5	(1) REVISIONS TO ALLOCATIONS AND AGGRE-
6	GATES.—Upon the reporting of an appropriations
7	measure, or when a conference committee submits a
8	conference report thereon, that appropriates funds
9	for highways, the Chairman of the Budget Commit-
10	tee shall submit increased budget authority alloca-
11	tions, aggregates, and discretionary limits for the
12	amount appropriated for authorized expenditures
13	from the highway fund and the outlays flowing from
14	such budget authority.
15	(2) Revisions to suballocations.—The
16	Committee on Appropriations may submit appro-
17	priately revised suballocations pursuant to sections
18	302(b)(1) and 602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budg-
19	et Act of 1974.
20	(d) Limitations.—
21	(1) In general.—The revisions made pursu-
22	ant to subsection (b) shall not be made—
23	(A) with respect to direct spending reduc-
24	tions, unless the committee that generates the
25	direct spending reductions is within its alloca-

- tions under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Budget Act in this resolution (not including the direct spending reductions envisioned in subsection (b)); and
 - (B) with respect to revenue increases, unless revenues are at or above the revenue aggregates in this resolution (not including the revenue increases envisioned in subsection (b)).
- 9 (2) BUDGET AUTHORITY.—The budget author-10 ity adjustments made pursuant to subsection (c) 11 shall not exceed the amounts specified in subsection 12 (b)(2) for a fiscal year.

13 SEC. 210. EXERCISE OF RULEMAKING POWERS.

- 14 The Congress adopts the provisions of this title—
- 15 (1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of
 16 the Senate and the House of Representatives, re17 spectively, and as such they shall be considered as
 18 part of the rules of each House, or of that House
 19 to which they specifically apply, and such rules shall
 20 supersede other rules only to the extent that they
 21 are inconsistent therewith; and
 - (2) with full recognition of the constitutional right of either House to change those rules (so far as they relate to that House) at any time, in the

6

7

8

22

23

1	same manner, and to the same extent as in the case
2	of any other rule of that House.
3	TITLE III—SENSE OF THE
4	SENATE
5	SEC. 301. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON LONG TERM ENTITLE-
6	MENT REFORMS, INCLUDING ACCURACY IN
7	DETERMINING CHANGES IN THE COST OF
8	LIVING.
9	(a) Findings.—
10	(1) Entitlement reforms.—The Senate
11	finds that with respect to long term entitlement re-
12	forms—
13	(A) entitlement spending continues to grow
14	dramatically as a percent of total federal spend-
15	ing, rising from fifty-six percent of the budget
16	in 1987 to an estimated seventy-three percent
17	of the budget in 2007;
18	(B) this growth in mandatory spending
19	poses a long-term threat to the U.S. economy
20	because it crowds out spending for investments
21	in education, infrastructure, defense, law en-
22	forcement and other programs that enhance
23	economic growth;
24	(C) in 1994, the Bipartisan Commission
25	on Entitlement and Tax Reform concluded that

1	if no changes are made to current entitlement
2	laws, all federal revenues will be spent on enti-
3	tlement programs and interest on the debt by
4	the year 2012;
5	(D) the Congressional Budget Office has
6	also recently issued a report that found that
7	pressure on the budget from demographics and
8	rising health care costs will increase dramati-
9	cally after 2002; and
10	(E) making significant entitlement changes
11	will significantly benefit the economy, and will
12	forestall the need for more drastic tax and
13	spending decisions in future years.
14	(2) CPI.—The Senate finds that with respect to
15	accuracy in determining changes in the cost of liv-
16	ing—
17	(A) the Final Report of the Senate Fi-
18	nance Committee's Advisory Commission to
19	study the CPI has concluded that the Consumer
20	Price Index overstates the cost of living in the
21	United States by 1.1 percentage points;
22	(B) the overstatement of the cost of living
23	by the Consumer Price Index has been recog-
24	nized by economists since at least 1961, when

a report noting the existence of the overstate-

1	ment was issued by a National Bureau of Eco-
2	nomic Research Committee, chaired by Profes-
3	sor George J. Stigler;
4	(C) Congress and the President, through
5	the indexing of federal tax brackets, Social Se-
6	curity benefits, and other federal program bene-
7	fits, have undertaken to protect taxpayers and
8	beneficiaries of such programs from the erosion
9	of purchasing power due to inflation; and
10	(D) the overstatement of the cost of living
11	increases the deficit and undermines the equi-
12	table administration of federal benefits and tax
13	policies.
14	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
15	Senate that the provisions in this resolution assume
16	that—
17	(1) Congress and the President should continue
18	working to enact structural entitlement reforms in
19	the 1997 budget agreement and in subsequent legis-
20	lation;
21	(2) Congress and the President must find the
22	most accurate measure of the change in the cost of
23	living in the United States, and should work in a bi-
24	partisan manner to implement any changes that are

necessary to achieve an accurate measure; and

1 (3) Congress and the President must work to
2 ensure that the 1997 budget agreement not only
3 keeps the unified budget in balance after 2002, but
4 that additional measures should be taken to begin to
5 achieve substantial surpluses which will improve the
6 economy and allow our nation to be ready for the re7 tirement of the baby boom generation in the year
8 2012.

9 SEC. 302. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON TACTICAL FIGHTER

10 AIRCRAFT PROGRAMS.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
- (1) the Department of Defense has proposed to modernize the United States tactical fighter aircraft force through three tactical fighter procurement programs, including the F/A–18 E/F aircraft program of the Navy, the F–22 aircraft program of the Air Force, and the Joint Strike Fighter aircraft program for the Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps;
 - (2) the General Accounting Office, the Congressional Budget Office, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, and several Members of Congress have publicly stated that, given the current Department of Defense budget for procurement, the Department of Defense's original plan to buy over

- 1 4,400 F/A-18 E/F aircraft, F-22 aircraft, and Joint
- 2 Strike Fighter aircraft at a total program cost in ex-
- 3 cess of \$350,000,000,000 was not affordable;
- 4 (3) the F/A-18 E/F, F-22, and the Joint
- 5 Strike Fighter tactical fighter programs will be com-
- 6 peting for a limited amount of procurement funding
- 7 with numerous other aircraft acquisition programs,
- 8 including the Comanche helicopter program, the V-
- 9 22 Osprey aircraft program, and the C-17 aircraft
- program, as well as for the necessary replacement of
- other aging aircraft such as the KC-135, the C-5A,
- the F-117, and the EA-6B aircraft; and
- 13 (4) the 1997 Department of Defense Quadren-
- 14 nial Defense Review has recommended reducing the
- 15 F/A-18 E/F program buy from 1,000 aircraft to
- 16 548, and reducing the F-22 program buy from 438
- 17 to 339.
- 18 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
- 19 Senate that the provisions of this resolution assume that,
- 20 within 30 days, the Department of Defense should trans-
- 21 mit to Congress detailed information pertaining to the im-
- 22 plementation of this revised acquisition strategy so that
- 23 the Congress can adequately evaluate the extent to which
- 24 the revised acquisition strategy is tenable and affordable

1	given the projected spending levels contained in this budg-
2	et resolution.
3	SEC. 303. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING CHILDREN'S
4	HEALTH COVERAGE.
5	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
6	(1) of the estimated 10 million uninsured chil-
7	dren in the United States, over 1.3 million have at
8	least one parent who is self-employed and all other
9	uninsured children are dependents of persons who
10	are employed by another, or unemployed;
11	(2) these 1.3 million uninsured kids comprise
12	approximately 22 percent of all children with self-
13	employed parents, and they are a significant 13 per-
14	cent of all uninsured children;
15	(3) the remaining uninsured children are in
16	families where neither parent is self-employed and
17	comprise 13 percent of all children in families where
18	neither parent is self-employed;
19	(4) children in families with a self-employed
20	parent are therefore more likely to be uninsured
21	than children in families where neither parent is
22	self-employed; and
23	(5) the current disparity in the tax law reduces
24	the affordability of health insurance for the self-em-
25	ployed and their families, hindering the ability of

1	children to receive essential primary and preventive
2	care services.
3	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
4	Senate that the provisions of this resolution assume that
5	from resources available in this budget resolution, a por-
6	tion should be set aside for an immediate 100 percent de-
7	ductibility of health insurance costs for the self-employed
8	Full-deductibility of health expenses for the self-employed
9	would make health insurance more attractive and afford-
10	able, resulting in more dependents being covered. The gov-
11	ernment should not encourage parents to forgo private in-
12	surance for a government-run program.
13	SEC. 304. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON A MEDICAID PER CAP
	ITA CAP.
14	ITA CAP. It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet
14 15	
14 15 16	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet
14 15 16 17	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet deficit reduction targets in this resolution with respect to Medicaid—
14 15 16 17	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet deficit reduction targets in this resolution with respect to Medicaid—
114 115 116 117 118	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet deficit reduction targets in this resolution with respect to Medicaid— (1) the per capita cap will not be used as a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet deficit reduction targets in this resolution with respect to Medicaid— (1) the per capita cap will not be used as a method for meeting spending targets; and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet deficit reduction targets in this resolution with respect to Medicaid— (1) the per capita cap will not be used as a method for meeting spending targets; and (2) the per capita cap represents a significant
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 23	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet deficit reduction targets in this resolution with respect to Medicaid— (1) the per capita cap will not be used as a method for meeting spending targets; and (2) the per capita cap represents a significant structural change that could jeopardize the quality
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is the sense of the Senate that in order to meet deficit reduction targets in this resolution with respect to Medicaid— (1) the per capita cap will not be used as a method for meeting spending targets; and (2) the per capita cap represents a significant structural change that could jeopardize the quality of care for children, the disabled, and senior citizens.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- (1) balancing the budget will bring numerous economic benefits for the United States economy and American workers and families, including improved economic growth and lower interest rates;
 - (2) the FY 1998 budget resolution crafted pursuant to an agreement reached between the Congress and the Administration purports to achieve balance in the year 2002;
 - (3) the deficit estimates contained in this resolution may not conform to the actual deficits in subsequent years, which make it imperative that any additional savings are realized be devoted to deficit reduction;
 - (4) the Senate's "pay-as-you-go" point of order prohibits crediting savings from updated economic or technical data as an offset for legislation that increases the deficit, and ensures these savings are devoted to deficit reduction; and
 - (5) Congress and the Administration must ensure that the deficit levels contained in this budget are met and, if actual deficits prove to be lower than projected, the additional savings are used to balance the budget on or before the year 2002.

1	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
2	Senate that the provisions of this resolution assume
3	that—
4	(1) legislation enacted pursuant to this resolu-
5	tion must ensure that the goal of a balanced budget
6	is achieved on or before fiscal year 2002; and
7	(2) if the actual deficit is lower than the pro-
8	jected deficit in any upcoming fiscal year, the added
9	savings should be devoted to further deficit reduc-
10	tion.
11	SEC. 306. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON FAIRNESS IN MEDI-
12	CARE.
13	(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
14	(1) the Trustees of the Medicare Trust Funds
15	recently announced that Medicare's Hospital Insur-
16	ance (HI) trust fund is headed for bankruptcy in
17	2001, and in 1997, HI will run a deficit of \$26 bil-
18	lion and add \$56 billion annually to the federal defi-
19	cit by 2001;
20	(2) the Trustees also project that Supple-
21	mentary Medical Insurance (SMI), will grow twice
22	as fast as the economy and the taxpayers' subsidy
23	to keep the SMI from bankruptcy will grow from
24	\$58 billion to \$89 billion annually from 1997
25	through 2001;

- 1 (3) the Congressional Budget Office reports
 2 that when the baby-boom generation begins to re3 ceive Social Security benefits and is eligible for Med4 icare in 2008, the federal budget will face intense
 5 pressure, resulting in mounting deficits and erosion
 6 of future economic growth;
 - (4) long-term solutions to address the financial and demographic problems of Medicare are urgently needed to preserve and protect the Medicare trust funds;
 - (5) these solutions to address the financial and demographic problems of Medicare are urgently needed to preserve and protect the Medicare trust funds;
 - (6) reform of the Medicare program should ensure equity and fairness for all Medicare beneficiaries, and offer beneficiaries more choice of private health plans, to promote efficiency and enhance the quality of health care;
 - (7) all Americans pay the same payroll tax of 2.9 percent to the Medicare Trust Funds, and they deserve the same choices and services regardless of where they retire;
- 24 (8) however, under the currently adjusted-aver-25 age-per-capita cost (AAPCC), some counties receive

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 2.5 times more in Medicare reimbursements than 2 others; (9) this inequity in Medicare reimbursement 3 jeopardizes the quality of Medicare services of rural beneficiaries and penalizes the most efficient and ef-5 6 fective Medicare service providers; 7 (10) in some states, the result has been the ab-8 sence of health care choices beyond traditional, fee-9 for-service medicine for Medicare beneficiaries. 10 which in other counties and states plan providers 11 may be significantly over-compensated, adding to 12 Medicare's fiscal instability; and 13 (11) ending the practice of basing payments to 14 risk contract plans on local fee-for-service medical 15 costs will help correct these inequities, mitigate un-16 necessary cost in the program, and begin the seri-17 ous, long-term restructuring of Medicare.
- 18 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the 19 Senate that the provisions of this resolution assume that 20 the Finance Committee should strongly consider the following elements for Medicare reform—
- 22 (1) any Medicare reform package should include 23 measures to address the inequity in Medicare reim-24 bursement to risk contract plans;

1	(2) Medicare should use a national update
2	framework rather than local fee-for-service spending
3	increases to determine the annual changes in risk
4	plan payment rates;
5	(3) an adequate minimum payment rate should
6	be provided for health plans participating in Medi-
7	care risk contract programs;
8	(4) the geographic variation in Medicare pay-
9	ment rates must be reduced over time to raise the
10	lower payment areas closer to the average while tak-
11	ing into account actual differences in input costs
12	that exist from region to regional;
13	(5) Medicare managers in consultation with
14	plan providers and patient advocates should pursue
15	competitive bidding programs in communities where
16	data indicate risk contract payments are substan-
17	tially excessive and when plan choices would not di-
18	minish by such a bidding process; and
19	(6) Medicare should phase in the use of risk ad-
20	justers which take account of health status so as to
21	address overpayment to some plans.
22	SEC. 307. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING ASSISTANCE
23	TO LITHUANIA AND LATVIA.
24	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

- 1 (1) Lithuania and Latvia reestablished democ-2 racy and free market economies when they regained 3 their freedom from the Soviet Union;
 - (2) Lithuania and Latvia, which have made significant progress since regaining their freedom, are still struggling to recover from the devastation of 50 years of communist domination;
 - (3) the United States, which never recognized the illegal incorporation of Lithuania and Latvia into the Soviet Union, has provided assistance to strengthen democratic institutions and free market reforms in Lithuania and Latvia since 1991;
 - (4) the people of the United States enjoy close and friendly relations with the people of Lithuania and Latvia;
 - (5) the success of democracy and free market reform in Lithuania and Latvia is important to the security and economic progress of the United States; and
 - (6) the United States as well as Lithuania and Latvia would benefit from the continuation of assistance which helps Lithuania and Latvia to implement commercial and trade law reform, sustain private sector development, and establish well-trained judiciaries.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
- 2 Senate that the provisions of this resolution assume
- 3 that—
- 4 (1) adequate assistance should be provided to
- 5 Lithuania and Latvia in fiscal year 1998 to continue
- 6 the progress they have made; and
- 7 (2) assistance to Lithuania and Latvia should
- 8 be continued beyond fiscal year 1998 as they con-
- 9 tinue to build democratic and free market institu-
- 10 tions.
- 11 SEC. 308. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING A NATIONAL
- 12 COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION.
- 13 It is the sense of the Senate that the provisions of
- 14 this resolution assure that a national commission should
- 15 be established to study and make specific recommenda-
- 16 tions regarding the extent to which increases in student
- 17 financial aid, and the extent to which Federal, State, and
- 18 local laws and regulations, contribute to increases in col-
- 19 lege and university tuition.
- 20 SEC. 309. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON LOCKBOX.
- It is the Sense of the Senate that the provisions of
- 22 this resolution assume that to ensure all savings from
- 23 Medicare reform are used to keep the Medicare program
- 24 solvent, the Treasury Secretary should credit the Medicare
- 25 Hospital Insurance Trust Fund (Part A) with government

- 1 securities equal to any savings from Medicare Supple-2 mental Medical Insurance (Part B) reforms enacted pur-
- 3 suant to the reconciliation instructions contained in this
- 4 budget resolution.

14

15

16

17

18

5 SEC. 310. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON THE EARNED INCOME

- 6 CREDIT.
- 7 (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

fraud and error rate of 25.8%;

- 8 (1) an April 1997 study by the Internal Reve-9 nue Service of Earned Income Credit (EIC) filers 10 for tax year 1994 revealed that over \$4 billion of the 11 \$17 billion spent on the EIC for that year was erro-12 neously claimed and paid by the IRS, resulting in a
 - (2) the IRS study further concluded that EIC reforms enacted by the 104th Congress will only lower the fraud error rate to 20.7%, meaning over \$23 billion will be wasted over the next five years; and
- 19 (3) the President's recent proposals to combat 20 EIC fraud and error contained within this budget 21 resolution are estimated to save \$124 million in 22 scoreable savings over the next five years and addi-23 tional savings from deterrent effects.
- 24 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the 25 Senate that the provisions of this resolution assume that

- 1 the President should propose and Congress should enact
- 2 additional programmatic changes sufficient to ensure that
- 3 the primary purpose of the EIC to encourage work over
- 4 welfare is achieved without wasting billions of taxpayer
- 5 dollars on fraud and error.